Economic Integration of the Most Vulnerable Immigrant Population in New York State

The most vulnerable group of foreign born residents of New York State are those who are faced with a double disadvantage; a low human capital and Limited English Proficiency (LEP). In this Brief, we provide policy implications emerging from a study that looked at the economic outcomes of foreign born residents of New York State who are disadvantaged by lack of high school completion, and are identified as LEP. In this study, we compared the economic outcomes of this group in each region of the state. The study painted a portrait of the ten geographic regions the state, and analyzed regions where members of the group are most marginalized compared to the state average reported for this population. The focus on this group is deemed critical since they are the group most in need of policy interventions to harness their productive potential and address their possible exploitation and deprivation. The study used 2014 American Community Survey data and focused on the 25-64 years old cohort. Eight indicators were used to determine economic outcomes: Income; unemployment, poverty, full-time employment, working poor, naturalization, rent burden and homeownership.

A Regional Portrait of Economic Outcomes

Income (State Average: $24,309)
In the Upstate regions of Central NY ($11,580), Mohawk Valley ($12,812) and Western NY ($21,780), this segment of the populations is faring worse than the state average. In the Downstate region, New York City ($23,042) also reports slightly below state average income levels.

Unemployment (State Average: 6.99%)
Rates of unemployment for this segment of the population are higher than state average in the upstate regions of North Country (57.95%), Mohawk Valley (41.74%), Capital Region (12.09%), Finger Lakes (18.22%) and Western NY (7.13%). While in the

Poverty (State Average: 50.78%)
North Country (76.22%), Capital Region (65.90%), Southern Tier (78.77%), Central NY (78.30%), Western NY (66.61%) are Upstate regions reporting higher than state average poverty rates for this segment of the population. Again, New York City (53.67%) reports slightly above state average rates.

Full time Employment (State Average: 76.45%)
Members of this population have lower than state average full time employment rates in the Upstate regions of North Country (49.14%), Western NY (60.50%), and Finger Lakes (73.08%). New York City (76.20%) records slightly lower than state average as well.

Working Poor (State Average: 38.03%)
North Country (51.22%), Capital District (65.26%), Central NY (73.38%) and Southern Tier (51.61%) report higher than state average in the number of people in this population group who are identified as working poor. New York City (41.70%) in the downstate region reports close to state average numbers.

Naturalization (State Average: 24.46%)
Naturalization rates in the Upstate regions of the Capital District are the worst in the state (2.25%), followed by North Country (4.39%), Western NY (17.19%) and the Southern Tier (19.92%). In the Downstate regions of Mid Hudson (16.26%) and Long Island (18.73%) , naturalization rates are also lower than State average.

Rent Burden (State Average: 36.94%)
Rent burden is an acute problem for members of the population in the Upstate regions of North Country (76.97%), Capital District (63.83%), Southern Tier (58.54%), Finger Lakes (56.46%) and Central NY (62.46%). In the downstate region, it is close to State average.

Homeownership (State Average: 22.97%)
Homeownership is seriously below average in the North Country (0.97%) and below average in the Mid Hudson (19.74%) and New York City (16.90%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Foreign Born without High School Diploma and without English Proficiency (25-64 years old)</th>
<th>% of LEP with no high school diploma of all Foreign Born (25-62 years old)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NYS State Overall</td>
<td></td>
<td>413,189                                                             13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Region</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,493                                                               6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central NY</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,200                                                               9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finger Lakes</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,160                                                               7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Island</td>
<td></td>
<td>48,865                                                              13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Hudson</td>
<td></td>
<td>34,998                                                              11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohawk Valley</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,556                                                               11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York City</td>
<td></td>
<td>314,947                                                             14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Country</td>
<td></td>
<td>706                                                                6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Tier</td>
<td></td>
<td>517                                                                2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western NY</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,747                                                               10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A Tale of Two Worlds: Downstate Versus Upstate

As a traditional continuous gateway, the downstate region has had a long history of receiving immigrants and absorbing them into its social, economic and political landscape. The Upstate regions do not have the institutional knowledge, culture or organizational richness that exist in the downstate regions. Granted, more than 70% of foreign-born New Yorkers reside in the downstate regions and the regions receive the lion’s share of public investments. The picture that emerges from this study, however, points to extreme vulnerability in the Upstate regions, particularly the North Country, Capital Region, and Western New York for this group along the indicators of poverty, unemployment, homeownership, rent burden and naturalization. Other Upstate regions including Central NY, Mohawk Valley, Southern Tier, Finger Lakes are also among the poor performing regions. The Downstate region of New York City on the other hand, recorded slightly worse than state average along most indicators, but was not among the bottom of the performance spectrum compared to Upstate regions. Long Island reported the lowest poverty rates, and with the exception of lower naturalization rates than state average, it fared well on most indicators. Mid Hudson also fared worse than state average on naturalization and homeownership, the region was not among the poor performing regions on other indicators.

The foreign-born population’s growth rate in the 7 upstate regions between 2005-2014, is 22%, In the 3 Downstate regions, the growth rate was10% in the same period.

Policy Implications

Public policy and community interventions can mitigate the impacts of the intersections of nativity status, lack of educational attainment and lack of linguistic proficiency for foreign-born New Yorkers.

English language proficiency
Previous studies have confirmed a strong correlation between lack of English Language proficiency and poor economic outcomes. This makes English language programs a key to increasing economic well-being of this population.

Workforce development and career explorations
Regions with below state average rates in full time employment, and above state average in unemployment need to strengthen outreach to this population and the provision of intensive workforce development and career exploration. Career & Technical Education programs provide underutilized career pathways for this segment of the population. These are occupations that are in great demand in today’s economy. It is a win-win situation.

Affordable housing programs and homeownership incentivizing programs
Regions with above state average rates of rent burden and below state average rate of homeownership need to invest in outreach to this population to provide them with resources on affordable housing and homeownership that strategically connect members of the population with available vacancies and advance the objective of urban revitalization and ending urban blight.

Labor rights and exploitation
Cases where members of this population are working full time yet suffering extreme poverty rates may raise red flags and point to the possibilities of labor exploitation and lack of labor protections. A closer look at labor conditions in these regions are warranted to ensure that there are protection mechanisms against labor exploitation and wage theft.

Naturalization Programs
Naturalization assistance programs could help those eligible for naturalization take this step, which is proven in the research literature to improve economic outcomes and the range of employment opportunities available.
Economic Outcomes for Foreign-Born New Yorkers Who Do not Hold a High School Diploma and Lack English Proficiency

### Economic Indicator: New York State Regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>NYS Average</th>
<th>Capital Region</th>
<th>Central NY</th>
<th>Finger Lakes</th>
<th>Long Island</th>
<th>Mid Hudson</th>
<th>Mohawk Valley</th>
<th>New York</th>
<th>North Country</th>
<th>Southern Tier</th>
<th>Western NY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>24,309</td>
<td>31,856</td>
<td>11,580</td>
<td>25,909</td>
<td>30,477</td>
<td>25,809</td>
<td>12,812*</td>
<td>23,042*</td>
<td>24,933</td>
<td>29,274</td>
<td>21,780*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment</td>
<td>6.99%</td>
<td>12.09%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>18.22%*</td>
<td>5.56%</td>
<td>5.24%</td>
<td>41.74%*</td>
<td>7.10%*</td>
<td>57.95%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>7.13%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poverty</td>
<td>50.78%</td>
<td>65.90%</td>
<td>78.30%</td>
<td>39.45%</td>
<td>32.52%</td>
<td>46.53%</td>
<td>42.29%</td>
<td>53.67%*</td>
<td>76.22%*</td>
<td>78.77%*</td>
<td>66.61%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Time Employment</td>
<td>76.45%</td>
<td>49.14%</td>
<td>79.06%</td>
<td>73.08%*</td>
<td>78.73%</td>
<td>77.61%</td>
<td>97.85%</td>
<td>76.20%*</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>82.67%</td>
<td>60.50%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Poor</td>
<td>38.03%</td>
<td>65.26%</td>
<td>73.38%</td>
<td>23.23%</td>
<td>22.40%</td>
<td>31.96%</td>
<td>22.62%</td>
<td>41.70%*</td>
<td>51.22%*</td>
<td>51.61%*</td>
<td>35.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naturalization</td>
<td>24.46%</td>
<td>2.25%</td>
<td>28.41%</td>
<td>48.04%</td>
<td>18.73%*</td>
<td>16.26%</td>
<td>42.99%</td>
<td>26.22%</td>
<td>4.39%*</td>
<td>19.92%*</td>
<td>17.19%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent Burden</td>
<td>36.94%</td>
<td>63.83%</td>
<td>62.46%</td>
<td>56.46%*</td>
<td>38.10%*</td>
<td>35.50%</td>
<td>10.86%</td>
<td>36.78%</td>
<td>76.97%*</td>
<td>58.54%*</td>
<td>20.92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeownership</td>
<td>22.97%</td>
<td>30.24%</td>
<td>40.11%</td>
<td>65.12%</td>
<td>58.99%</td>
<td>19.74%</td>
<td>30.78%</td>
<td>16.90%*</td>
<td>0.97%*</td>
<td>45.99%</td>
<td>38.14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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